

DPE PS coastal Mailbox

From: Margaret Brice <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, 18 January 2017 11:19 PM
To: DPE PS coastal Mailbox
Subject: Objection to Proposed Coastal Management Bill and SEPP

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Minister Stokes

I write as the owner of [REDACTED] Wamberal, and as a concerned member of the NSW coastal community.

I object to the approval of the coastal management bill and SEPP on the following grounds -

1. The coastal mapping is unclear, appears inconsistently formulated (council to council), appears unfair and misleading. I agree with the submission of the Coastal Alliance and their stance that this process has not been completed in the correct manner.
2. I would argue that the coastal vulnerability zone be separated into different treatment for developed and undeveloped land, especially for current areas of dense development such as Wamberal.
3. There has not been sufficient consultation to reassure residents that terminology such as "ambulatory boundaries" and "time limited development consent" do not mean planned governmental retreat and potential loss of our homes. These frightening terms imply lack of support and concern to enable us to protect our families assets.

I would therefore ask the minister consider all these points and to seek further consultation with all stakeholders before these instruments are enacted.

Yours sincerely

Margaret Brice
[REDACTED]
Wamberal NSW 2260

[REDACTED]

- 1. The Coastal Management Bill 2016 and the related SEPP, fails to distinguish between the treatment of undeveloped land within the coastal vulnerability zone and developed land, particularly existing land in highly developed areas such as Wamberal;**
- 2. The proposed legislation is quite unsettling for residents living in the Coastal Hazard Area with ambiguous provisions such as 'ambulatory boundaries' [aka planned retreat]; 'time limited development consent'; 'beach nourishment' obligations; etc. open to mixed interpretation, particularly in respect of point 1 above;**
- 3. Coastal mapping is an integral part of the new Bill and SEPP and yet this aspect of the proposed legislation is deficient and inadequate, leaving residents in a serious state of uncertainty;**

4. In light of the above and given the critical nature of this Bill, which if enacted will affect the lives of many thousands of NSW citizens, we implore the Minister to delay the gazettal [enactment] of this Bill until these issues are comprehensively discussed and resolved.

Sent from my iPad